Historic, archived document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULT

Washington, D. C.

January, 1931

PROXIMATE COMPOSITION OF FRESH VEGETABLES

By

CHARLOTTE CHATFIELD

Specialist in Foods and Nutrition

GEORGIAN ADAMS

Associate Specialist in Foods and Nutrition, Foods and Nutrition Division, Bureau of Home Economics





CIRCULAR No. 146

JANUARY, 1931





PROXIMATE COMPOSITION OF FRESH VEGETABLES¹

By CHARLOTTE CHATFIELD, Specialist in Foods and Nutrition, and Georgian Adams, Associate Specialist in Foods and Nutrition. Foods and Nutrition Division, Bureau of Home Economics

CONTENTS

Edible portion 2 Carbohydrates. Refuse 3 Fuel value	Averages and variations	2 2 3 3	Definition of terms—Continued. Protein, fat, and ash. Carbohydrates. Fuel value Accuracy and limitations of the figures.	4 5 5
---	-------------------------	------------------	---	-------------

INTRODUCTION

In the last three decades the American public has become familiar with a much greater variety of fresh vegetables. Plant explorers have brought new species and varieties from foreign countries, and improved marketing methods and transportation facilities have widened distribution. Chinese cabbage, broccoli, dasheens, and a score more considered new or unusual a few years ago are now a matter of course in many parts of the country. Accordingly an added demand has arisen for data on the chemical composition and nutritive value of vegetables.

Since the last revision of Atwater and Bryant's bulletin many proximate analyses of vegetables have been made. For the present tables published and unpublished analyses have been collected from a great many sources and used together with most of the data represented in the earlier summary. Unpublished analyses have been contributed by the Bureau of Chemistry and Soils, the Food, Drug, and Insecticide Administration, the Bureau of Plant Industry, and other laboratories in this department. The Bureau of Standards, United States Department of Commerce, and the California and Maryland State Agricultural Experiment Stations have also supplied analytical data. Published analyses have been taken from so many sources that it would be impracticable to enumerate them.

In general the plan is similar to that adopted for the tables on the proximate composition of fresh fruits and fruit juices issued in 1928.3 The data used have been selected after careful examination of the various reports for evidences of undue variation in the character of

¹ Acknowledgment is made to Laura 1. McLaughlin, tormerly nutrition chemist, Bureau of Home Economics, for her work in the collection of data.

² ATWATER, W. O., and BRYANT, A. P. THE CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF AMERICAN FOOD MATERIALS U. S. Dept. Agr., Off. Expt. Stas., Bul. 28, 87 p., illus. 1899. (Revised ed. Reprinted 1906.)

³ CHATFIELD, C., and MCLAUGHLIN, L. I. PROXIMATE COMPOSITION OF FRESH FRUITS. U. S. Dept. Agr., Circ. 50, 20 p. 1928.

the sample. Important differences in method of sampling or analytical methods were considered, but fully half of the reports did not give definite information on these points. In the absence of exact descriptions of the sample or of full statements about laboratory methods, the data were included if the samples seemed comparable and the results of sufficient accuracy for the present purpose.

For the most part the later analyses were made by the methods of the Association of Official Agricultural Chemists 4 or by slight modifications of these procedures. The methods used in the earlier studies under the direction of W. O. Atwater are comparable with

those now in use.

The Bureau of Plant Industry has helped in the classification and in some cases in the selection of the data. Great care has been taken to choose representative and varied samples of each vegetable so far as could be done with the material at hand and to exclude samples that differed too widely from the usual types and conditions of the vegetable as found on the market. Wide variations occur in the composition of many of the vegetables due to differences in maturity or in conditions and duration of storage. The variations here shown usually give a fair picture of actual differences in the material on the market, but the causes could seldom be made evident in a generalized form.

The data on different species are usually reported separately wherever possible even though the figures may not show significant or characteristic differences. Within any species, however, classes, varieties, types, or forms were not reported separately unless significant differences are actually shown or might be expected to be present.

DEFINITION OF TERMS

EDIBLE PORTION

The part of the plant represented as edible in these analyses is indicated in the majority of cases after the name of the vegetable. Sometimes this information had to be supplied since the original reports even in the more recent studies often were not explicit. Descriptions of the commonest vegetables are omitted unless needed to avoid confusion. So far as the data permitted the description is given wherever there might be doubt, as for example where more than one part of the plant is commonly used as a vegetable. This statement does not apply, however, to distinctions between peeled and unpeeled vegetables which are discussed later. The parts analyzed as edible portion and those reported as refuse are not always mutually exclusive. In some instances parts of the plant considered refuse by some investigators were judged edible by others, and therefore absolute uniformity does not prevail in all the chemical samples.

Data are included here on numerous samples that were not reported under the descriptive terms applied to the averages. For example, none of the samples of chicory were specified as leaves only, but the context indicated plainly that this was the part analyzed rather than the root, which is also sometimes eaten. Furthermore,

⁴ Association of Official Agricultural Chemists. Official and tentative methods of analysis. Compiled by the committee on editing methods of analysis. Revised to July 1, 1924. Ed. 2, 535 p., illus. Washington, D. C. 1925

samples of such vegetables as endive described in the reports as "leaves and stems" are combined here with others described as "leaves."

Skins or peelings of vegetables were included in some of the samples analyzed chemically and not in others, and often it was impossible to know which way the sample had been prepared. This was particularly true in vegetables like potatoes with skins that are edible but usually discarded. Usually too few samples were described clearly to show differences due to manner of sampling. To report the averages of these few separately would be unsatisfactory if not actually misleading. Probably the difference in composition between whole and peeled potatoes, for example, could be shown by a direct comparison based on a moderate number of identical tubers or on samples that were otherwise alike. Lacking such a comparison, however, the differences in proximate composition due to the inclusion of skin are not great enough to be clearly demonstrated; other variations obscure the point. Consequently no serious error has been introduced here by summarizing the data on samples of the whole and the pared vegetable together.

Other possible differences in what is included in "edible portion" may occur in such vegetables as cauliflower and summer squash on which opinions differ as to the part edible. Some samples of cauliflower may have included leafstalks but most of them, in all probability, contained only the flower bud and some of the flower stalk. The entire fruit of the summer squash was probably analyzed in some of the samples, while others may have excluded the skin and seed part. There seems little doubt though that differences of this kind would be no wider than other differences in variety or condition.

All of the data on chemical constituents refer to the part analyzed as edible. In the line designated as A. P. the values are calculated as percentages of the weight "as purchased" but they refer only to the constituents of the edible portion.

REFUSE

Data on the refuse are reported in percentages as purchased. The part of the vegetable that is represented here is indicated as definitely as the original data permitted. Because of the great variation in the form and condition of the vegetables as purchased and also in the parts discarded it was sometimes difficult, when the refuse was not described in the original reports, to tell exactly what was meant. By comparison with other figures from reports that were more explicit it was often possible, however, to arrive at a fairly safe assumption. In particularly doubtful cases, and usually for vegetables purchased sometimes with tops and sometimes without, explanation is given by footnote.

AVERAGES AND VARIATIONS

Averages are arithmetical means of individual values as given in percentage of the fresh edible portion. Probable error, indicated as P. E., has been given to indicate variation in individual determinations and is the standard deviation × 0.6745.

WATER

Water content refers to the loss in weight from drying or the difference between the weight of the total solids and the fresh substance. In some cases the material was air dried at 100° C. and in others it was dried in vacuum at lower temperatures. Probably the errors due to variations in the method of determination are greater in this constituent than in any of the others.

PROTEIN. FAT, AND ASH

Protein was calculated as $N \times 6.25$, the nitrogen being determined by the Kjeldahl method or one of its modifications. Fat was determined as ether extract, and it includes therefore other ether-soluble substances such as plant pigments. Ash is the residue from burning the dry substance until it is free from carbon.

CARBOHYDRATES

TOTAL BY DIFFERENCE

The term "total by difference including fiber" under carbohy-hydrates refers to solids other than protein, fat, and ash. Like nitrogen-free extract it includes organic acids and undetermined solids as well as the substances properly classed as carbohydrates. It is numerically equal to the sum of nitrogen-free extract and fiber. It is apparent that any errors in the determination of water, protein, fat, or ash will be reflected in this quantity and that it is therefore less reliable than a direct determination. No indication of the variation in this quantity is given since individual calculations of it were not recorded, but it can be assumed that the variation in this group of substances would be of about the same order as that of the water content.

FIBER

Fiber is the loss in weight from incinerating the residue obtained by successive treatments of the fat-free dry substance with dilute sulphuric acid and dilute sodium hydroxide.

TOTAL SUGARS

The sugar determinations were made for the most part on the alcoholic extract, though some were made on the water extract. Copper reduction methods predominated, but a few of the determinations were made by means of picric acid. The values determined by copper reduction were reported sometimes in terms of dextrose or of invert sugar but often the basis was not indicated. It was impossible to convert these to a strictly uniform basis. The variations due to these discrepancies are however of relatively small magnitude.

STARCH

The majority of the starch determinations were made by the diastase method although acid hydrolysis was used in a few cases. Some reports did not indicate the method. Often the figures represent total acid hydrolyzable polysaccharides calculated as starch, but wherever dextrin occurred in appreciable amounts, as in corn, the usual separation was made. It is recognized that the acid-hydrolysis

method gives results that are high for starch. Wherever considerable errors could have been introduced by including values obtained by this procedure footnotes indicate the method.

TOTAL AVAILABLE CARBOHYDRATE

Total available carbohydrate as reported here in footnotes has been determined directly and represents, essentially, the sum of starch and sugars. The total reducing substances after hydrolysis were determined by picric acid or by copper reduction methods. Here, as in the case of starch, conversion was effected by acid hydrolysis in a few instances but except as noted, this could have introduced only negligible errors.

FUEL VALUE

Fuel value is expressed in calories which were calculated on the basis of the physiological fuel values, that is, 4 calories per gram of protein and of carbohydrates and 9 per gram of fat.

ACCURACY AND LIMITATIONS OF THE FIGURES

The figures here presented are the result of a critical study of the source material. They are as reliable and accurate as they could be made with the data available. There are, however, certain necessary limitations imposed on any such attempt to give a composite picture of substances that vary as much among themselves as do the individual vegetables in any one group. The figures should be used, therefore, with an understanding of their limitations.

On account of this variability in the composition of vegetables, the averages of a class can be only rough estimates at best of the composition of a particular sample. Some of the averages represent samples from numerous types of the vegetable, grown in different localities under varied conditions, and taken at several stages of maturity. These probably are fairly reliable as average figures for their class. For others it was impossible to get data that could be regarded as

representative of the class as a whole.

Many of the data came from analyses incomplete in the sense that not all of the constituents in the table were determined. This is evident from the differences in the number of analyses as shown in the last line under each vegetable. Attention is called to the fact that the determinations of a particular constituent, as sugars for example, may have come from an entirely different lot of material than that on which water content was determined. This accounts for some of the discrepancies.

If all of the analyses were complete it would be expected that the sum of sugar, starch, and fiber would be less than total carbohydrates by difference. Actually the total of the three in the tables is greater in some vegetables, and in others the undetermined portion seems too high to be due entirely to the presence of such substances as hemicelluloses. Discrepancies of this kind may be due either to inac-

curacy of analytical technic or to errors of sampling.

Composition of fresh vegetables

		As pur- chased					Edib	le port	ion			
					-			Carb	hydrate	s	Fuel	value
		Refuse	Water	Protein (N× 6.25)	Fat	Ash	Total by differ- ence, in- clud- ing fiber		Sugars	Starch	Per 100 grams	Per pound
Amaranth, Chinese (Amaranthus spp.): Leaves and stems.	Av P. E. Max. Min A. P.	P. ct. Tough stems ¹ 29	P. ct. 88. 6 2. 5 92. 3 83. 4 62. 9	P. ct. 3.0 .7 4.6 1.7 2.1	P. ct. 0.6 .4 1.7 .1	P. ct. 2. 23 . 42 3. 21 1. 56 1. 6	P. ct. 5. 6	P. ct. 1. 0 . 2 1. 5 . 8	P. a. (2)	P. ct. 0. 5	Cats. 39. 8	Cais. 180
	No	1	6	6	6	6		4		1		
Anserine (see Lambsquarters, Algerian)												
Artichokes, Globe or French (Cyn ara scolymus).	Av Max. Min A. P.	3 52 68 37	83. 7 85. 8 81. 6 40. 2	2. 9 2. 9 2. 9 1. 4	. 4	1.1 1.2 .9	411.9 5.7	3. 2 3. 2 3. 1 1. 5			62.8	285 135
	No	3	2	2	1	2		2				
Artichokes, Jerusalem (see Jerusalem-artichokes). Asparagus (Asparagus officinalis).	AvP. E. Max. MinA. P.	Butt ends 25 7, 6 57 13	93. 0 . 6 94. 4 90. 8 69. 8	2. 2 . 4 3. 4 1. 1 1. 6	.2	. 67 . 09 . 97 . 49	3. 9	.7	1. 34 . 30 2. 96 . 59	.4 .1 .7 .1	26. 2	120
	No	17	52	30	18	19		24	37	4		
Asparagus - beans (Vigna sesquipe- dalis):												. (
Green pods.	Av P. E. Max Min		84. 5 3. 0 90. 6 79. 9	3. 4 4. 5 2. 7	.3	1. 3 . 4 2. 4 . 7	10. 5	2.0 2.6 1.4	5, 1	2, 7	58. 3	265
	No		4	3	3	4		3	1	1		
Sprouted seeds.	One		92. 8	2, 4	.4	. 4	4.0	. 7			29. 2	130
	No		1	1	1	1		1				
Bamboo shoots (Phyllostachys spp.).	Av P. E. Max Min A. P.	Sheath ⁶ 71 72 70	91. 3 1. 0 93. 1 88. 1 26. 5	2. 5 . 4 3. 7 1. 7 . 7	.3 .1 .5 .1	.79 .14 1.19 .4 .2	⁷ 5. 1	.8 .2 1.0 .2 .2			33. 1	150
	No	3	13	13	12	13		6				
Basella (see Vine- spinach).												

Original report did not describe refuse; presumably tough stems.
 No data on sugar. Total available carbohydrate, one sample, 1.6 per cent.
 Waste leaf, stem, and flower.
 Presumably includes a considerable proportion of inulin.
 Samples are mainly of this genus, but one at least was Bambusa sp. Scientific names are not recorded in all cases, so other genera that are used for edible shoots may be included.
 Original reports did not describe refuse; presumably sheath.
 According to one report, there is approximately 1.2 per cent reducing sugars, and 1.3 per cent made up of lignin and pentosans.

		As pur- chased					Edib	le port	ion			
								Carb	ohydrate	s	Fuel	value
		Refuse	Water	Protein (N× 6.25)	Fat	Ash	Total by differ- ence, in- clud- ing fiber	Fiber	Sugars	Starch	Per 100 grams	Per pound
Beans: Broadbean (Vicia faba): Green, shelled.	Av P. E. Max. Min A. P.	P. ct. Shells 66 69 64	P. ct. 74.1 9.2 89.6 50.6 25.2	P. ct. 8. 1 3. 3 17. 5 3. 2 2. 8	P. ct. 0.6 .3 1.3 .2 .2	P. ct. 1. 4 .7 3. 4 .5	P. ct. 15. 8	P. ct. 2.0 2.5 1.3	P. ct.	P. ct.	Cals. 101. 0	Cals. 460
	No	2	5	5	4	5		3				100
Green pods.	One		84. 0	3. 0	. 3	.8	11. 9				62.3	285
	No		1	1	1	1						
Hyacinth-bean (Dolichos lab- lab):	Av Max Min		89. 9 92. 2 87. 6	2. 8 3. 3 2. 3	.2	.8 1.0 .6	6. 3	1.7			38. 2	175
Green pods.	No		2	2	2	2		1				
Lima (Phaseo- lus lunatus macrocarpus): Green, shelled.	Av P. E. Max Min A. P.	Shells 60 6.9 72 44	66. 5 2. 9 71. 8 58. 9 26. 6	7. 5 . 7 9. 4 6. 4 3. 0	.8 .2 1.3 .6 .3	1.71 .17 2.0 1.24 .7	23. 5	1. 5 .3 2. 0 .8 .6			131. 2	595
	No	7	5	5	4	5		4				
Mung Bean sprouts (Phase- olus aureus).	Av P. E. Max. Min.		92. 4 . 6 93. 8 91. 2	2. 9 . 2 3. 4 2. 5	.3 .1 .6 .0	. 44 . 05 . 53 . 31	4.0	.7 .2 1.1 .3			30. 3	135
	No		6	6	6	6		4				
Scarlet Runner (Phaseolus coccineus): Green pods.	Av Max. Min. A. P.	Ends and strings ⁸ 8	92. 3 93. 2 91. 8 84. 9	1. 4 1. 5 1. 4 1. 3	.1	.7	5. 5	.8		0.1	28. 5	130
	No	1	3	2	1	1	<u> </u>	1		1		
Snap beans (Phaseolus vulgaris).	Av P. E. Max. Min A. P.	Ends and strings 10 2.6 18 2	88. 9 2. 8 94. 0 78. 8 80. 0	2. 4 . 5 4. 3 1. 4 2. 2	.2 .1 .4 .1 .2	.77 .05 .9 .68 .7	7. 7 	1. 4 . 4 2. 6 . 8 1. 3	0.37 .08 .64 .26	2. 2 . 7 3. 8 . 8	42. 2	190
Soybeans (see Soybeans). String (see Beans, Snap).				20		-4						

⁸ Original report did not describe refuse; presumably ends and strings.

		As										
		pur- chased					Edib	le port	ion			
								Carbo	ohydrate	S	Fuel	value
		Refuse	Water	Protein (N× 6.25)	Fat	Ash	Total by differ- ence, in- clud- ing fiber	Fiber	Sugars	Starch	Per 100 grams	Per pound
Beets (Beta vulgaris): Common, red.	Av P. E. Max. Min A. P.	P. ct. \$25 6 35 14	P. ct. 87. 6 2. 1 94. 1 82. 3 65. 7	P. ct. 1. 6 . 2 2. 2 . 9 1. 2	P. ct. 0.1 .3 .0 .1	P. ct. 1. 11 1. 61 2. 0 . 7 . 8	P. ct. 9. 6	P. ct. 0.9 .2 1.7 .6 .7	P. ct.	P. ct.	Cals. 45. 7	Cals. 205
	No	4	32	29	26	27		20				
Beet greens (Beta vulgaris): Common.	Max_		90. 4 2. 1 96. 7 86. 2	2. 0 . 5 3. 1 . 6	.3	1.7 .5 2.8 .7	5. 6	1. 4 2. 5 . 6	0.5			150
	No		8	7	3	4		3	1			
Sugar beet. 10	Av Max. Min		87. 5 87. 9 87. 1	2. 2 2. 4 1. 9	.1	1.3 2.0 .7	8. 9	1. 3 1. 5 1. 1				
	No		2	2	2	2		2				
Black-salsify(Scorzonera hispanica).	Av P. E. Max. Min A. P.	Scrap- ings 20	77. 2 3. 6 84. 2 71. 5 61. 8	3. 1 1. 0 4. 6 1. 0 2. 5	.3	. 81 . 09 . 99 . 66 . 6	18.6	2. 3 2. 3 2. 3 1. 8			89. 5	405
	No	1	4	4	3	4		2				
Borage (Borago officinalis): Leaves and stems.	Av Max. Min		89. 4 90. 1 88. 8	2.8 3.0 2.6	.4				.1	0. 2 .3 .2		
Stems.	No		2	2	2				2	2		
Broccoli (Brassica oleracea botrytis): Flower stalks.	One A. P.	Leaves and tough stalk 53	89. 9 42. 3	3.3	.2	1. 1	5. 5 2. 5	1. 3	1.9		37. 0 17	170 75
2 10 H CL DVILLAS.	No	1	1	1	1	1		1	1		·	
Brussels Sprouts (Brassica oleracea gemmifera).	Av P. E. Max. Min. A. P.		84. 9 1. 9 88. 3 81. 0 65. 4	4. 4 . 4 5. 5 3. 8 3. 4	.5	1. 28 . 08 1. 41 1. 1 1. 0	8. 9	1.3 1.5 1.1 1.0			57. 7	260
	No	1	5	4	3	4		2				

Presumably includes a considerable proportion of inulin.
 These figures include skins and base of leafstalks, tops not included as purchased. Total refuse, including tops, 3 samples, av. 47 per cent; max. 54 per cent; min. 40 per cent.
 Samples included here were analyzed as feeding stuffs, and were probably rather mature.

		As pur- chased					Edib	le port	ion			
								Carbo	ohydrate	s	Fuel	value
		Refuse	Water	Protein (N× 6.25)	Fat	Ash	Total by differ- ence, in- clud- ing fiber	Fiber	Sugars	Starch	Per 100 grams	Per pound
Burdock (Arctium lappa): Roots.	Max. Min	P. ct.	P. ct. 72. 4 4. 5 79. 4 60. 6	P. ct. 3. 0 .8 4. 5 1. 1	P. ct. 0. 1	P. ct. 1. 14 . 27 1. 90 . 63	P. ct. 123. 4	P. ct. 2. 3 . 5 3. 8 1. 5	P. ct. 7. 3	P. ct. 0. 3	Cals. 106. 5	Cals. 485
	No	1	47.8	$\frac{2.0}{9}$	$\frac{\cdot 1}{9}$	-8	15. 3	7	1	1	70	320
Cabbage (Brassica oleracea capitata).	Av P.E. Max. Min A. P.	Outer leaves and core 27 9.8 57	92. 4 1. 0 94. 8 88. 4 67. 5	1.4 .3 3.1 .8 1.0	.2 .1 .5 .1 .1	.75 .11 1.07 .34 .5	5. 3	1.0 .2 1.4 .5 .7	3. 5 . 3 4. 8 2. 9	(12)	28. 6	130
	No	27	56	53	20	24		10	20			
Cabbage, Chinese (Brassica chinensis and B. pekinensis): Pakchoi and Petsai.		1	95. 2 . 5 96. 6 93. 7 82. 8	1. 4 .2 2. 2 .8 1. 2	.1 .1 .4 .0 .1	. 89 . 21 1. 31 . 49 . 8	2. 4	.6 .2 .8 .4 .5	.9 .3 1.4 .3	.2	16. 1	75
Carrots (Daucus carota).	AvP.E.Max.MinA.P.	Tops and scrap-ings 14 37 3.8 45 30	88. 2 1. 4 91. 1 83. 1 55. 6	1. 2 . 3 2. 3 . 7 . 8	.3 .1 .7 .0 .2	1. 02 . 17 1. 55 . 62 . 6	9. 3	1.1 .2 2.3 .7 .7	7. 5 8. 7 6. 2	(15)	44.7	205
Catjang-peas (Vig- na catjang): Green pods.	Max.		87. 3 89. 0 86. 2	3. 3 3. 8 2. 3	.4 .6 .1	.7 .8 6	8.3	1.7 1.9 1.4			50.0	225
Cauliflower (Brassica oleracea botrytis).	Av P. E. Max. Min . A. P.	5.0 64 46	91. 7 1. 1 93. 8 87. 6 41. 3	2.4 .5 4.0 1.6 1.1	.2 .2 .2 .1 .3	.85 .14 1.20 .6 .4	2.1	1.0 .9 .4 3	2, 6 . 6 4, 9 1, 8	(17)	31.0	65

⁴ Presumably includes a considerable proportion of inulin.

11 Original report did not describe refuse; presumably scrapings, rootlets, and base of leafstalks.

12 No data on starch, presumably average is less than 1 per cent. Total available carbohydrate, 2 samples,
av. 5.1 per cent; max. 5.8 per cent; min. 4.4 per cent.

12 Original report did not describe refuse; presumably outer leaves and core.
13 Tops only, 3 samples, av. 27 per cent; max. 38 per cent; min. 20 per cent. Scrapings only, in percentage of sample without tops, 3 samples, av. 12 per cent; max. 16 per cent; min. 9 per cent.

13 No data on starch. Total available carbohydrate, 2 samples, av. 7.6 per cent; max. 9.0 per cent; min.
6.2 per cent.

¹⁸ O data of states.

18 These figures include main stalk and base of leafstalks. Main stalk only, considering base of leafstalks elible, 2 samples, av. 43 per cent; max. 44 per cent; min. 42 per cent.

18 No data on starch, presumably average is less than 1 per cent. Total available carbohydrate, 4 samples, av. 3.5 per cent; max. 5.9 per cent; min. 2.5 per cent.

		As pur- chased					Edib	le port	ion			
								Carbo	hydrate	s	Fuel	value
		Refuse	Water	Protein (NX 6.25)	Fat	Ash	Total by differ- ence, in- clud- ing fiber	Fiber	Sugars	Starch	Per 100 grams	Per pound
Celeriae (Celeri graveolens): Roots.	Av P. E. Max. Min. A. P.	P. ct. Par- ings 18 14	P. ct. 88. 3 1. 7 90. 7 84. 1 75. 9	P. ct. 1.7 1.9 1.5 1.5	P. ct. 0. 3	P. ct. 0. 9 1. 0 .8 .7	P. ct. 8.8	P. ct. 1. 4 1. 4 1. 4 1. 2	P. ct. 0.8	P. ct. 0. 1	Cals. 44. 7	Cals. 205
	No	2	4	3	3	- 3		2	1	1		
Celery (Celeri graveolens): Stalks.	Av P. E. Max. Min. A. P.	19 37 7. 9 50 12	93. 7 1. 1 95. 2 89. 9 59. 0	1. 3 .3 2. 0 .7 .8	.3	1. 08 . 15 1. 56 . 8 . 7	3. 7	.7 .1 .9 .5	1, 25 . 37 2, 28 . 52	(20)	21.8	100
	No	9	15	11	8	9		5	14			
Chard (Beta vul- garis): Leaves only.	Av P. E. Max. Min		91.0 .8 92.9 89.9	2.6 .2 2.9 2.0	. 4 . 1 . 5 . 2	1. 20 . 28 1. 78 . 63	4. 8	.8 .2 1.0 .4	. 82 . 17 1. 23 . 52	.1	33. 2	150
	No		4	4	4	4		4	4	1		
Stalks only.	Av Max.		95. 2 95. 9 94. 6	1.0 1.0 .9	.1	.8 .8 .8	2.9	.4	1.1	.7	16. 5	75
	No		8	2	2	2		2	1 .	1		
Leaves and stalks.	Av Max.		91. 8 92. 2 91. 5	1. 4	. 2	2. 2	4. 4	. 9			25, 0	115
	No		2	1	1	1		1				
Chayote (Chayota edulis): Fruit.	Av P. E. Max. Min A. P.	19	91. 6 1. 4 96. 0 86. 0 77. 9	1.0 .2 1.6 .7 .8	.1 .3 .0 .1	. 48 . 08 . 7 . 28 . 4	6. 8	.8 .2 1.4 .3 .7	3. 1 . 7 6. 0 2. 2	1. 6 . 6 3. 0 . 6	32. 1	145
	No	3	16	16	15	16		14	11	6		
Roots.	Av P. E. Max.		77. 4 2. 5 80. 5 71. 0	1.8 .6 2.6 .4	.1	1. 1 1. 2 1. 0	19.6	.8	.5 .2 .8 .0	20, 0	86. 5	390
	No		4	4	4	3		3	4	1		
Leaves.	Av Max. Min		91. 0 91. 2 90. 8	3. 2 4. 0 1. 7	. 7 1. 0 . 5	1.2 1.3 1.0	3.9	1. 4 1. 7 1. 0	1.1		34.7	155
	No		3	3	3	3		2	1			
	,		,			1	*	5				3

Original reports did not describe refuse; presumably parings.
 Original reports did not describe refuse in most cases; presumably leaves and upper part of leafstalks.
 No data on starch, presumably average is less than 1 per cent. Total available carbohydrate, 2 samples, av. 1.1 per cent max. 1.7 per cent; min. 0.6 per cent.

•		As pur- chased					Edib	ole port	ion			
								Carbo	hydrate	S	Fuel	value
		Refuse	Water	Protein (N× 6.25)	Fat	Ash	Total by differ- ence, in- clud- ing fiber	Fiber	Sugars	Starch	Per 100 grams	Per pound
Chervil (Anthris-	One	P. ct.	P. ct. 80. 7	P. ct. 3. 4		P. ct. 3. 5		P. ct.	P. ct.	P. ct.	Cals. 67. 7	Cals. 305
cus cerefolium): Leaves.	No		1	1	1	1						
Chicory ²¹ (Cichorium intybus): Leaves.	Av P. E. Max.		94. 2 . 7 95. 4	1. 6	.3	1.0	2.9	0.8	0. 2	(22)	20. 7	95
	Min. A. P.		92. 6 83. 8	1.1	.1	.5	2. 6	. 7			19	85
	No	1	4	3	3	3		1	1			
Chives (Allium schoenoprasum): Bulbs and tops.	Max_		86. 0 91. 2 80. 8	3. 8 5. 1 2. 6	.6 .8 .3	1. 8 2. 4 1. 3	7.8	2. 0 2. 4 1. 5			51. 8	235
	No		2	2	2	2		2				
Collards (see Kale). Corn, Sweet (Zea mays): All.	Av P. E. Max. Min. A. P.	Husk and cob 3 62 7.3 85 37	73. 9 4. 3 86. 1 61. 3 28. 1	3.7 .4 4.9 2.8 1.4	1. 2 .3 2. 1 .5 .5	.66 .09 .84 .4	20. 5	.8 .2 1.4 .5 .3	4. 29 1. 79 7. 56 1. 58	14. 6 3. 9 26. 2 3. 4	107. 6	490
	No	141	203	13	13	7		10	194	194		
Young 24.	Av P. E. Max. Min. A. P.	70 5.5 85 54	80. 3 1. 7 86. 1 76. 0 24. 1	2.9 .1 3.0 2.8 .9	.8 .2 1.4 .5 .2	. 56 . 07 . 66 . 4 . 2	15. 4	.6 .9 .5 .2	5. 24 . 91 7. 56 1. 58	8. 7 1. 8 13. 7 3. 4	80. 4	365
	No	39	84	4	4	4		3	79	79		
Medium 4.	Av P. E. Max. Min A. P.	58 5.0 72 44	72. 4 1. 2 75. 9 69. 0 30. 4	3.7 .2 4.1 3.1 1.6	1.1 .2 1.6 .6 .5	.8 .8 .7 .3	22. 0 9. 2	.9 .2 1.4 .6 .4	4. 02 1. 06 6. 1 2. 21	16. 1 1. 5 20. 4 10. 8	112.7	510
	No	44	66	6	6	3		4	62	62		
	Į.			,	-	1	1			,	1	

^{.1} Often erroneously called "endive" or "French endive" on the markets. See also Endive and

^{.1} Often erroneously called "endive" or "French endive" on the markets. See also Endive and Chicory.

22 No data on starch. Total available carbohydrate, 1 sample, 0.8 per cent.

23 Husks, 140 samples, av. 33 per cent; max. 59 per cent; min. 14 per cent; P. E. 5.6 per cent. Cobs, 138 samples, av. 29 per cent; max. 44 per cent; min. 13 per cent; P. E. 3.7 per cent.

24 Classified arbitrarily according to moisture content on the basis of certain samples that were described as to maturity. Water content of young, 76.0 per cent or more; of medium, 69.0 to 75.9 per cent; and of old, less than 69.0 per cent.

		As pur- chased					Edib	le port	ion			•
								Çarb	ohydrate	s	Fuel	value
		Refuse	Water	Protein (N× 6.25)	Fat	Ash	Total by differ- ence, in- clud- ing fiber	Fiber	·Sugars	Starch	Per 100 grams	Per pound
Corn, Sweet (Zea mays)—Contd.	Av P.E. Max. Min A.P.	P. ct. Husk and cob 52 4.8 64 37	P. ct. 65. 7 1. 3 68. 9 61. 3 31. 5	4. 5 4. 9 4. 1 2. 2	P. ct. 1.8 2.1 1.6 .9	P. ct.	P. ct.	P. ct. 0.8 	P. ct. 3. 18 . 44 4. 64 2. 10	P. ct. 21. 6 1. 3 26. 2 17. 7	Cals.	Cals.
	No	34	53	3	3			3	53	53		
Cornsalad (Valerianella olitoria): Leaves and stems.	Av Max. Min. A. P.	Roots 4	92. 8 93. 4 92. 2 89. 1	2.0 2.1 2.0 1.9	.4	1. 2 1. 7 . 8 1. 2	3. 6 3. 4	.8 1.1 .6 .8			26. 0 	120
	No	1	2	2	1	2		2				
Cowpeas (Vigna sinensis): Green, shelled.	One		65, 9	9. 4	.6	1.4	22. 7				133. 8	605
	No		1	1	1	1						
Green pods.	One		89. 2	3.0	.1	.7	7.0	1. 2			40. 9	185
	No		1	1	1	1		1				
Cress, Garden (Lepidium sativum): Leaves and stems.	Av Max. Min A. P.	Stalks and outer leaves 28 37 46 29	87. 2 93. 0 81. 3 54. 9	4. 2 6. 1 2. 3 2. 6	1. 4	1. 9 2. 3 1. 5 1. 2	5. 3	1.2			50. 6	230
	No	3	2	2	1	2		1				
Cucumbers (Cucumis sativus).	Av P. E. Max. Min A. P.	Parings 30	96. 1 .5 97. 3 94. 7 67. 3	.7 .1 .9 .2 .5	.1	.44 .07 .6 .29	2. 7	.5 .1 .8 .2 .4	2. 6 3. 4 1. 7		14. 5	65
	No	3	15	14	9	13		6	2			
Dandelion greens (Leontodon tarax- acum).	Av P. E. Max. Min		85. 8 1. 6 88. 8 81. 4	2. 7 . 3 3. 4 2. 1	.7 .1 1.0	2. 0 2. 3 1. 6	8. 8	1.8 2.0 1.5	.7	. 2	52. 3	235
	No		6	5	4	3		2	1	1		

Note that were described as to maturity. Water content of the basis of certain samples that were described as to maturity. Water content of young, 76.0 per cent or more; of medium, 69.0 to 75.9 per cent; and of old, less than 69.0 per cent.
Woriginal reports did not describe refuse; presumably stalks and outer leaves.

*		As pur- chased					Edib	le port	ion			
								Carb	ohydrate	es	Fuel	value
		Refuse	Water	Protein (N× 6.25)	Fat	Ash	Total by differ- ence, in- clud- ing fiber	Fiber	Sugars	Starch	Per 100 grams	Per pound
Dasheen (Colocasia esculenta): Corms and tubers.	Av P. E. Max. Min. A. P.	P. ct. Skins 16 16 16	P. ct. 66. 6 4. 4 83. 7 56. 6 55. 9	P. ct. 2.9 .4 4.1 1.9 2.4	P. ct. 0. 2	P. ct. 1. 42 . 21 2. 22 . 94 1. 2	P. ct. 28. 9	P. ct. 0. 7 .1 1. 2 .5 .6	P. ct. 1. 71 . 35 2. 71 . 31	P. ct. 21. 8 3. 7 30. 3 7. 6	Cals. 129. 0	Cals. 585
	No	2	27	26	26	26		26	26	27		
Leaves and stems (see Taro). Dock, or Sorrel (Rumex spp.): Leaves and stems.	Av P. E. Max Min . A. P.	Stalks 30	93. 3 . 7 95. 0 92. 2 65. 3	2. 1 . 2 2. 4 1. 6 1. 5	.3 .1 .5 .2 .2	. 95 . 22 1. 5 . 67 . 7	3. 4	.8 .1 .9 .7 .6	. 04	.1	24. 7	110 75
Eggplant (Solanum melongena).	Av P. E. Max. Min A. P.	Calyx and parings 26 13 17 8	92. 7 . 8 94. 0 89. 0 80. 6	1. 1 . 1 1. 4 . 7 1. 0	. 2 . 1 . 4 . 1 . 2	. 54 . 05 . 67 . 39 . 5	5. 5 4. 7	.9 .1 1.4 .8 .8			28. 2	130
Endive (Cichorium endivia): Leaves	Av P. E. Max. Min. A. P.	Stalks and outer leaves 48 52 44	93. 3 . 9 95. 6 91. 1 48. 5	1. 6 . 2 2. 2 1. 0 . 8	.2 .1 .3 .1 .1	. 89 . 06 1. 0 . 74 . 5	4.0	.8 .1 1.1 .6 .4			24. 2	110
Endive and Chicory (Cichorium endivia and C. intybus): Leaves.	AvP.E. Max. Min. A. P.	Stalks and outer leaves 33 11 52 11	93. 6 . 8 95. 6 91. 1 62. 7	1. 6 .3 2. 2 .9 1. 1	.2 .1 .4 .1 .1	. 89 . 19 1. 41 . 33 . 6	3. 7 2. 5	.8 .1 1.1 .6 .5	. 2	(27)	23. 0	105 70

Calyx and skin reported separately in 2 samples. Calyx, av. 3.6 per cent; max. 5.0 per cent; min. 2.2 per cent. Skin (pared thinly), av. 7.4 per cent; max. 8.8 per cent; min. 6.0 per cent.
 No data on starch. Total available carbohydrate, 1 sample, 0.8 per cent.

		Ac					,					
		As pur- chased					Edib	le port	ion			
								Carb	hydrate	s	Fuel	value
	15	Refuse	Water	Pro- tein (N× 6.25)	Fat	Ash	Total by differ- ence, in- clud- ing fiber	Fiber	Sugars	Starch	Per 100 grams	Per pound
Fennel (Foenicu- lum vulgare): Stems.	Av Max. Min A. P.	P. ct. Leaves (28) 7	P. ct. 92. 8 92. 9 92. 6 86. 3	P. ct. 1. 9 2. 3 1. 5 1. 8	P. ct. 0. 2 .3 .1	P. ct. 1. 5 1. 5 1. 5 1. 4	P. ct. 3. 6	P. ct. 0. 8	P. ct. (29)	P. ct. (29)	Cals. 23. 8	Cals. 116
	No	1	2	2	2	2		1				
Garlic (Allium sativum): Bulbs.			74. 2 8. 3 88. 0 58. 0 68. 3	4. 4 1. 5 6. 8 1. 3 4. 0	.2	1. 18 . 18 1. 44 . 7 1. 1	20. 0	1. 0 .1 1. 2 .8 .9	-,-		99. 4	450
,	No	1	6	5	4	6		4				
Ginger (Zingiber officinale): Roots.	Min		84. 6 4. 5 91. 1 70. 2	1.8 .2 2.1 1.4	1. 5 . 2 2. 0 . 9	1. 3 . 2 1. 6 . 5	10.8	1.0			63. 9	290
	No		6	5	5	6	<u></u>	4 .			====	
Horseradish (Ar- moracia rusticana): Roots.	Av Max. Min A. P.	Par- ings 18 27 1	73. 4 73. 8 73. 1 53. 6	3. 2 3. 3 3. 2 2. 3	.2 .3 .2 .1	1.8 1.9 1.6 1.3	21. 4	2. 4 2. 6 2. 3 1. 8			100. 2	455 330
		Par-				===						
Jerusalem-a r t i- chokes (Helian- thus tuberosus).	Av P. E. Max. Min A. P.	ings 31	79. 5 1. 3 84. 2 74. 2 54. 9	2. 2 . 3 3. 1 1. 1 1. 5	.1 .2 .0 .1	1. 17 . 18 2. 00 . 87 . 8	3017.0 11. 7	.8 .1 1.4 .6 .6			77. 7 54	350
	No	1	45	30	24	12		23				
Jews-mallow (Cor- chorus olitorius):	One		85. 0	5. 1	. 5							
Leaves a n d stems. Kale, including col- lards (Brassica	No		1	1	1							
oleracea acephala): Leaves.	Av P.E. Max. Min		86. 6 1. 7 91. 2 81. 4	3. 9 . 5 5. 7 2. 8	.6 .1 1.0 .2	1. 70 . 37 3. 16 . 99	7. 2	1. 2 . 3 2. 0 . 5	1. 19 . 38 2. 1 . 56	0.2	49. 8	225
Kale. Collards	A. P. A. P.	31 30 32 55	60. 6 39. 0	2.7	.4	1. 2	5. 1 3. 1	.8			35 22	160 100

¹⁵ Original report did not describe refuse; presumably parings.
15 Original report did not describe refuse; presumably leaves.
16 No data on sugar or starch. Total available carbohydrate, 1 sample, 1.6 per cent.
17 Much of the carbohydrate occurs as inulin. Free reducing sugars, 4 samples only, av. 0.8 per cent; max. 2.0 per cent; min. 0.2 per cent. Total water-soluble carbohydrates determined as total sugar after hydrolysis, 404 samples, av. 15.25 per cent; max. 21.89 per cent; min. 45 per cent. Levulose, determined in the hydrolyzed extract, 402 samples, av. 12.24 per cent; max. 19.56 per cent; min. 6.0 per cent; P. E. 1.460 per cent.
16 Kale, 2 samples, stalks and outer leaves, max. 38 per cent; min. 22 per cent.
18 Kale, 2 samples, stalks and outer leaves, max. 38 per cent; min. 29 per cent.
19 Collards, 1 sample, refuse not described in original report; presumably tough stalks and discarded leaves.

leaves.

		As pur- chased					Edit	le port	ion			
								Carbo	hydrate	s	Fuel	value
		Refuse	Water	Protein (N× 6.25)	Fat	Ash	Total by differ- ence, in- clud- ing fiber		Sugars	Starch	Per 100 grams	Per pound
Kohirabi (Brassica oleracea gongy-lodes): Stems. Lambsquarters (Chenopodium album): Leaves and	Av P. E. Max. Min A. P.	P. ct. Tops and par- ings 33 46	P. ct. 90.1 1.9 94.1 85.4 48.7	P. ct. 2.1 .4 2.7 1.0 1.1	P. ct. 0. 1	P. ct. 1. 05 . 13 1. 3 . 72 . 6	P. ct. 6. 7	P. ct. 1.1 .2 1.4 .6 .6	P. ct. 2. 2	P. ct.		Cals. 165
	No	2	12	9	8	10		8	1			
(Chenopodium album):	Av Max. Min		84. 2 87. 6 80. 8	3. 8 3. 9 3. 8	.7 .8 .6	3. 0	8.3	2. 6	. 3	1. 4	54. 7	250
stems.	No		2	2	2	1		1	1	1		
Lambsquarters, Algerian (Cheno- podium amaranti- color):	Max_		76. 7 77. 9 75. 5	7. 6 8. 5 6. 7	. 9 1. 1 . 7	4. 3	10. 5		(34)	(34)	80. 5	365
Leafy shoots.	No		2	2	2	1						
Leeks (Allium por- rum): Bulbs and leaves.34	Av P. E. Max. Min A. P.	Tops and root- lets 35 48 3. 9 56 40	88. 2 1. 7 91. 9 85. 3 45. 9	2. 5 . 5 3. 9 1. 2 1. 3	.4 .2 .7 .0 .2	1. 03 . 15 1. 30 . 7 . 5	7. 9	1. 3 1. 7 1. 1 . 7	2. 6	1.4	45. 2	205
	No	4	7	7	6	6		3	1	1		
Lettuce (Lactuca sativa).	Av P. E. Max. Min A. P.	Stalk and outer leaves 31 44 13	94. 8 1. 0 97. 4 91. 5 65. 4	1. 2 .3 1. 9 .5 .8	.2 .1 .6 .0 .1	.91 .19 1.41 .5 .6	2. 9	.6 .2 1.1 .3 .4	1.6 2.2 .9	(36)	18. 2	85 55
Mung Bean sprouts (see Beans, Mung).												

Original reports did not describe refuse; presumably parings and tops of purchased sample. Parings only, in percentage of sample without tops, 1 sample, 23 per cent.
 Nodata on sugar or starch. Total available carbohydrate, 2 samples, av. 1.5 per cent; max. 2.7 per cent; min. 0.3 per cent.
 Most of leaf discarded as refuse; lower portion considered edible.
 No data on starch; presumably average is less than 1 per cent. Total available carbohydrate, 1 sample,

^{1.0} per cent.

		As pur- chased					Edib	le port	ion			
								Carbo	ohydrate	85	Fuel	value
		Refuse	Water	Protein (N× 6.25)	Fat	Ash	Total by differ- ence, in- clud- ing fiber	Piber	Sugars	Starch	Per 100 grams	Per pound
Mushrooms:	Nim_	P. ct. Skins 9	94. 7 87. 9	P. ct. (17 85)	P. ct. 0.3 .1 .8 .1	P. ct. 1. 14 . 22 1. 86 . 58 1. 0	P. ct. (EF)	P. et. 0.9 .2 1.3 .2 .8				Cals.
	No	1	28		25	28		19				
Common, field (Agaricus campestris).	Mex		92. 9	(37 41)	.5	1. 01 . 21 1. 41 . 7	(31)	.6 .8 .5			(40)	(40)
	No		- 5		- 6	-5		2				
Morel (Mor- chella spp.).	Max.		91.2	(37.42)	.5	1. 1 1. 2 1. 0	(16)	.9			(40)	(40)
	No		5		ê	3		£				
Mustard greens (Brassica spp.).	Min.	Stalks and lower leaves 52 27	92. 2 1. 6 95. 7 86. 7	2.3 .6 4.3 1.2 1.7	.3 .2 .9 .1 .2	1. 21 . 22 2. 03 . S1 . 9	4.0	. S . 2 1. 3 . 5	0.4 .4 .3	(4)	27. 9	125
	No	1	11	9	7	11		-8	2			
Nettle (Urtica dicica):	One		82. 4	5, 5	.7	2.3	9.1	2.0			64. 7	295
Leafy shoots.	No		1	1	1	1		1				
Oca (Oxalis tube-	AV		80.9	1.4	. 2	1.0	5 16.5			et 11. 2	73. 4	335
Tubers.	No		47.5	45	1	47.5			4	1		
Okra (Hibiscus esculentus).	Av P. E. Max. Min. A. P.	Siem ends is 12 14 10	89. 8 1. 4 93. 3 87. 4 79. 0	1.8 .3 2.3 .9 1.6	.2 .1 .4 .1 .2	. \$4 . 14 1. 05 . 5 . 7	6.5	1.0 .1 1.3 .8			,	175
	No	2	8	8	8	8		8				

^{**} Protein content is probably low; it can not be calculated from total nitrogen, most of which is in the form of nonprotein nitrogen.

Marcola Ditrogen, 28 samples, av. 0.57 per cent; max. 0.98 per cent; min. 0.27 per cent; P. E. 0.13 per

cent.

"Carbohydrates are mostly nonextractable and considered, therefore, to have practically no nutritive

[#] Probably little or no fuel value; see footnotes 37 and 39. 41 Total nitrogen, 5 samples, av. 0.58 per cent; mar. 0.98 per cent; min. 0.38 per cent; P. E. 0.14 per

cent

ent.

d Total nitrogen, 3 samples, av. 0.45 per cent; max. 0.50 per cent; min. 0.46 per cent.

d Original report did not describe refuse; presumably stalks and lower leaves.

d Starch is less than 0.1 per cent, according to 1 sample.

d Acid as anhydrous citric, average of 4 samples, 0.25 per cent.

d This figure represents an average of 4 samples, range not reported.

d Only 1 sample reported individually.

d Original reports did not describe refuse; presumably stem ends.

		nepost										
		As pur- chased					Edib	le port	ion			
								Carb	ohydrate	es	Fuel	value
		Refuse	Water	Protein (NX 6.25)	Fat	Ash	Total by differ- ence, in- clud- ing fiber	Fiber	Sugars	Starch	Per 100 grams	Per pound
Onions (Allium cepa): All.	Av P. E Max. Min A. P	P. ct. Skins 49 6 2.1 10 2	87. 5 3. 0 95. 2 70. 2 82. 2	P. ct. 1. 4 . 3 2. 7 . 4 1. 3	P. ct. 0. 2 .1 .8 .1 .2	P. ct. 0. 58 . 13 1. 20 . 17 . 5	P. ct. 10. 3	P. ct. 0.8 .2 1.8 .4 .8	P. ct. 6. 7 1. 3 8. 4 3. 7	P. ct. 0. 5	Cals. 48. 6	Cals. 220
	No		30	_	23	25		15	4	1		
Top onions.	One		81. 5	2.1	. 2	.7		.7			72. 2	325
•	No		1	1	1	1		1				
Young, green.	Av P.E Max Min A. P	Tops, skins, and roots 50 59	87. 6 1. 0 89. 1 85. 4 35. 9	1. 0 . 2 1. 3 . 8 . 4	. 2 . 1 . 3 . 1 . 1	.6 .7 .5 .3	10. 6	1.8	3. 7	.5	48. 2	220 90
Onions, Welsh (Al- lium fistulosum): Base of leaves.	Av P. E Max Min A. P No	Roots and tops of leaves 51 34	89. 2 3. 5 92. 6 80. 3 58. 9	2. 2 .8 4. 3 1. 4 1. 5	. 6 . 4 1. 6 . 1 . 4	. 8 . 3 1. 6 . 4 . 6	7. 2	. 9			43. 0	195
Orach, Garden	Av		88. 0	4. 5	.4	2. 4	4. 7	1.0	.06	. 2	40. 4	185
(Atriplex hortensis):	Max Min		88. 8 87. 2	4. 6 4. 4	.4				. 08			
Leaves and stems.	No		2	2	2	1		1	2	1		
Orach, Peruvian (see Quinoa).												
Palmetto, or Pal-	One		87. 2	3. 3	.6	1.7	7. 2	. 9	1.0	1.0	47. 4	215
metto Cabbage (Inodes palmetto): Buds.	No		1	1	1	1		1	1	1		
Parsley (Petroselinum hortense): Common: Leaves.	Av P. E Max		83. 9 2. 0 87. 6	3. 7 . 1 4. 0	1. 0	2.4 .3 2.9	9. 0	1. 8			59. 8	270
-	Min.		79.3	3. 4	.7	1.7		1.4				
	No		5	4	3	4		3				

Skins, or skins and rootlets. Original reports in many cases did not describe refuse. Young green onlons which are reported separately but also included here in the edible portion, are excluded from the data on refuse.
 Original reports did not describe refuse; presumably tops, skins, and roots.
 Original report did not describe refuse; presumably roots and tops of leaves.

							Edih	ole port	ion			
								Carb	ohydrate	es	Fuel	value
		Refuse	Water	Protein (NX 6.25)	Fat	Ash	Total by differ- ence, in- clud- ing fiber		Sugars	Starch	Per 100 grams	Per pound
Parsley (Petroselinum hortense)— Continued. Hamburg: Roots.	One	P. ct.	P. ct. 87. 6	P. ct. 2. 1		P. ct. 0. 9	P. ct. 9. 2	1.1	P. ct. 2. 8	P. ct.	Cals. 47.0	Cals. 215
	No		1	1	1	1.		1	1			
Parsnips (Pastina- ca sativa).	Av P. E Max Min	Scrap- ings 22 40 12	78. 6 2. 3 89. 2 72. 6	1. 5 . 2 2. 1 1. 1	.5 .1 .8 .2	1. 15 . 24 1. 9 . 7	18. 2	2. 2 . 4 3. 0 1. 4	9. 5 1. 8 14. 2 4. 5	2.4 1.5 8.0	83. 3	380
	A. P		61. 3	1. 2	.4	.9	14. 2	1. 7	2.0		65	295
	No	3	35	10	5	8		4	24	24		
Peas, Black-eyed (see Cowpeas). Peas (Pisum sati-												
vum): Green, shelled: All.	Av P. E Max. Min A. P	Shells 55 3.9 63 40	74. 3 4. 2 84. 1 56. 7 33. 4	6. 7 . 9 9. 9 3. 5 3. 0	.4 .1 .6 .1	. 92 . 09 1. 2 . 55 . 4	17. 7	2. 2 . 3 2. 9 1. 3 1. 0	3. 2 1. 3 6. 9 . 4	8. 2 3. 1 15. 9 1. 8	101. 2	460 210
	No	27	42	41	29	28		24	14	11		
Young,s	171 C A		81. 4 . 9 84. 1 80. 1	5. 4 . 6 6. 3 3. 5	.3 .1 .4 .2	.77 .08 .89 .55	12. 1	1. 8 . 2 2. 2 1. 3	3. 3 1. 4 6. 2 . 8	3. 9 4. 1 3. 6	72, 7	330
	No		8	-8	6	5		5	4	2		
Medium.52	Av P. E Max Min A. P	58	75. 8 1. 7 79. 7 70. 2 37. 9	6. 5 . 7 8. 0 4. 4 3. 2	.4 .2 .6 .1	. 93 . 07 1. 2 . 78 . 5	16. 4	2. 2 . 2 2. 8 1. 7 1. 1	3. 8 1. 6 6. 9 . 4	5. 2 1. 7 8. 1 1. 8	95. 2 47	430
	No	5	24	23	18	18		14	4	4		
Old.ss	Max		65. 0 2. 7 69. 6 56. 7	8. 2 . 6 9. 9 6. 9	.4	1. 05 . 02 1. 08 1. 01	25. 4	2. 5 . 2 2. 9 2. 2	2. 3 . 2 2. 9 2. 0	12. 3 2. 1 15. 9 6. 5	138. 0	625
	No		10	10	5	5		5	5	5		
Sugar peas. Green pods.	P. E. Max. Min.		83. 9 1. 8 88. 7 80. 1	3. 5 3. 9 3. 3	.3	1. 0 . 3 1. 8 . 7	11. 3	1. 4 1. 6 1. 1			61. 9	280
	No		4	3	3	4		3				

^{**} Classified arbitrarily according to moisture content on the basis of certain samples that were described as to maturity. Water content of young, \$0.0 per cent or more; of medium, 70.0 to 79.9 per cent; and of old less than 70.0 per cent.

		As										
		pur- chased					Edib	le port	ion			
								Carbo	ohydrate	s	Fuel	value
		Refuse	Water	Protein (NX 6.25)	Fat	Ash	Total by differ- ence, in- clud- ing fiber		Sugars	Starch	Per 100 grams	Per pound
Peppers, or Red- peppers (Capsi- cum annuum): All. 83	Av P. E. Max. Min. A. P.	P. ct. 18 3.9 31 13	P. ct. 91. 5 1. 5 94. 3 88 75. 0	P. ct. 1. 4 .3 2. 6 .8 1. 1	P. ct · 0. 4 · .2 · 1. 1 · .1 · .3	P. ct. 0. 53 . 12 . 76 . 31 . 4	P. ct. 6. 2	P. ct. 1. 6 . 4 2. 4 . 8 1. 3	P. ct. 2. 1 3. 0 1. 5	P. ct. 4. 2	Cals. 34. 0	Cals. 155
	No	7	13	8	10	7		5	3	1		
Green. 53	Av P. E.	54 16	92. 4 1. 0	1. 2	. 2	. 5	5. 7	1.4	1.7	4. 2	29.4	135
	Max. Min. A. P.	19 13	94. 0 90. 8 77. 6	1.6 .8 1.0	.3 .1 .2	.8 .3 .4	4.8	2. 4 .8 1. 2	1. 8 1. 5		25	115
	No	3	4	3	3	3		3	2	1		
Red. 43	Av P. E. Max. Min. A. P.	54 20 4. 7 31 13	89. 2 91. 7 88 71. 4	1.3 1.4 1.2 1.0	.7	.7	8. 1	1. 6	3.0		43. 9	200
	No	4	3	2	1	1		1	1			
Pigweed (see Lambsquarters). Pokeberry, or Poke (Phytolacca amer- icana): Shoots.	Av Max. Min.		91. 6 94. 3 89. 0	2. 6 2. 8 2. 3	.4	1.7	3. 7			. 2	28. 8	130
510005.	No		2	2	2	1				1		
Potatoes (Solanum tuberosum).	Av P. E. Max. Min. A. P.	Parings 16 3.9 25 3	77. 8 1. 8 85. 2 66. 0 65. 4	2. 0 .3 3. 9 .9 1. 7	.1	.99 .11 1.9 .5	19. 1	.4 .1 .9 .2 .3	55 . 87 . 20 1. 5 . 21	56 14. 7 . 6 16. 4 12. 1	85. 3	385
	No	13	648	631	182	619		166	18	30		
Pumpkin (Cucurbita pepo): Mature, flesh.	Av P. E. Max. Min. A. P.	87 31 36 26	90. 5 1. 8 94. 6 84. 4 62. 4	1. 2 . 4 2. 2 . 4 . 8	.2 .1 .7 .1 .1	.82 .18 1.30 .5 .6	7. 3	1.3 .2 1.8 .6 .9	2. 5 . 4 3. 5 1. 7	2. 6 1. 4 5. 2 . 1	35.8	160
Immature (see Squash, sum- mer).												

 ⁸³ Sweet and pungent varieties. Edible part is fruit without seeds and core.
 84 Stem ends, seeds, and cores.

more representative of the sample as a whole.

67 Rind and contents of cavity. Rind, 1 sample, 17 per cent. Contents of cavity, 7 samples, av. 8 per cent; max. 11 per cent; min. 4 per cent; P. E. 1.7 per cent.

^{**} Stem ends, seeds, and cores.

** Samples on which this value is based have water content averaging 78.8 per cent; max. 81.0 per cent; min. 77.1 per cent.

** Samples on which this value is based have water content averaging 79.2 per cent; max. 81.3 per cent; min. 77.1 per cent. This average for water is slightly high. Total available carbohydrate (starch by acid hydrolysis and sugar), in 380 other samples, averaged 16.1 per cent, max. 23.7 per cent, min. 11.4 per cent; the water content of these averaging 77.2 per cent (max. 83.3 per cent, min. 70.9 per cent) is marked the sample as a whole service.

		As pur- chased				Edib	le port	ion				
								Carbo	ohydrate	s	Fuel	value
		Refuse	Water	Protein (N× 6.25)	Fat	Ash	Total by differ- ence, in- clud- ing fiber		Sugars	Starch	Per 100 grams	Per pound
Purslane (Portu- laca spp.): Leaves and stems.	Av P. E. Max. Min.	P. ct.	P. ct. 93. 2 1. 2 95. 2 90. 4	P. ct. 1. 6 . 4 2. 5 1. 0	P. ct. 0. 4 . 2 1. 1 . 1	P. ct 1. 48 . 30 2. 0 . 96	P. ct. 3. 3	P. ct. 0. 8	P. ct.	P. ct.	Ca ls. 23. 2	Cals. 105
•	No		6	6	6	5		3				
Quinoa (Chenopo- dium quinoa):	One		92. 7	2. 4	. 2							
Leafy shoots.	No		1	1	1							
Radishes (Raphanus sativus).	AvP. E. Max. Min. A. P.	Tops and rootlets 51 9 72 31	93. 6 1. 7 95. 7 86. 6 45. 9	1. 2 . 4 3. 0 . 7 . 6	.1 .1 .3 .1	.95 .24 1.8 .69	4. 2	.7 .1 1.1 .6 .3	3. 4 3. 4 3. 4		22. 5	100
	No	6	11	10	5	7		5	2			
Rutabagas (Brassica campestris).	Av P. E. Max. Min A. P.	Parings 15 16 15	89. 1 1. 0 91. 8 86. 1 75. 7	1. 1 . 2 1. 5 . 8 . 9	.1	. 83 . 18 1. 41 . 45 . 7	8. 9	1. 3 .1 1. 4 1. 1 1. 1	6. 7 . 4 7. 6 6. 1		40. 9	185
	No	2	16	15	7	12		8	4			
Saladrocket (Eruca sativa): Leaves and stems.	Av Max. Min. A. P.	Tough stems 1 8	92. 2 96. 0 88. 3 84. 8	1. 1	.2 .4 .1 .2	.9	5. 6	.5		0. 3	28. 6	130
	No	1	2	1	2	1		1		1		
Salsify (see Vegetable-oyster and Black-salsify). Seakale (Crambe maritima): Shoots.	Av Max. Min. A. P.	58 23 	93. 4 93. 4 93. 3 71. 9	1. 5 1. 6 1. 4 1. 2	59.2	. 6 . 6 . 5 . 5	4. 3	.8			25. 0 	115
	No	1	2	2		2		1				
Shallot (Allium ascalonicum):	One		80. 9	1. 2	. 2	. 4	17. 3				75. 8	345
Bulbs.	No		1	1	1	1						
Soybeans (Soja max): Green, shelled.	Av P. E. Max. Min. A. P	Shells 47	64. 7 7. 5 75. 7 42. 0 34. 3	13. 6 2. 3 18. 9 9. 9 7. 2	6. 3 2. 4 12. 8 2. 2 3. 3	2. 2 . 6 3. 9 1. 4 1. 1	13. 2 7. 1	2. 2 1. 4 3. 1 1. 3 1. 2	2. 6	2. 0	163. 9 87	745 395
	No	1	5	5	5	- 5		4	1	1		
		1	1				-	1		1	-	

Original report did not describe refuse; presumably tough stems. Original report described refuse as "root and waste."

	As pur- chase						Edib	ole port	ion			
							Carbohydrates				Fuel	value
		Refuse	Water	Protein (N× 6.25)	Fat	Ash	Total by differ- ence, in- clud- ing fiber	Fiber	Sugars	Starch	Per 100 grams	Per pound
Soybean sprouts (Soja max).	Av P. E. Max. Min.	P. ct.	P. ct. 82. 3 2. 6 87. 9 77. 0	P. ct. 8. 5 1. 4 11. 5 5. 7	P. ct. 1. 8 . 7 3. 5 . 8	P. ct. 1. 07 . 19 1. 34 . 6	P. ct. 6. 3	P. ct. 0. 9 . 1 1. 1 . 7	P. ct.	P. ct.	Cals. 75. 4	Cals. 340
	No		4	4	4	4		4				
Spinach (Spinacia oleracea).	AvP. E. Max. Min. A. P.	Stalks and outer leaves 60 18 3.7 28 10	92. 7 . 8 95. 0 89. 4 76. 0	2. 3 .3 3. 4 1. 9 1. 9	.3 .1 .6 .1	1. 53 . 19 2. 0 1. 06 1. 3	3. 2	. 6 . 7 . 5 . 5	0.3		24. 7	110:
	No	10	22	11	7	20		8	2			
Spinach, New Zealand (Tetra- gonia expansa): Leaves and stems.	Av. P. E. Max Min		91. 4 1. 4 94. 0 88. 4	2. 2 . 4 3. 3 1. 4	.2	2. 11 . 23 2. 43 1. 5	4. 1	1. 0 . 6	. 6	0.3	27. 0	120:
stems.	No		8	5	3	8		3	2	1		
Squashes 61 (Cu- curbita spp.): Cushaw (C. moschata): Flesh.	Av P. E. Max Min A. P.	62 21 24 18	90. 4 . 8 92. 1 88. 4 71. 4	1. 2 . 2 1. 4 . 7 . 9	.3 .1 .6 .0	. 76 . 16 1. 30 . 53 . 6	7. 3	1. 2 . 4 2. 2 . 8 . 9	4. 5	. 6	36. 7	165
	No	2	7	7	7	7		5	1	1		
Summer squash (C. pepo).	AvP. E. Max. Min. A. P.	2	95. 0 . 8 96. 8 93. 0 92. 2	.6 .1 .9 .2 .6	.1	.44 .06 .6 .3	3. 9	.5 .6 .4 .5	64 1. 0	64.2	18.9	85-
	No	2	8	8	6	6		3	1	1		
Winter squash (C. maxima): Flesh.	Max. Min	65 26	88. 6 2. 7 95. 2 78. 9 65. 6	1. 5 .3 2. 9 .6 1. 1	.3 .1 .9 .1	. 83 . 11 1. 3 . 38 . 6	8.8	1. 4 . 4 3. 1 . 5 1. 0	3. 9 5. 0 2. 8	1.0	43.9	200-
	No	-	39	37	37	37		84	2	1		

⁶⁰ Original reports did not describe refuse; presumably outer leaves and main stalks.

<sup>Original reports did not describe refuse; presumably outer leaves and main stalks.
See pumpkin for mature C. pepo.
Rind and contents of cavity. One sample, rind, 9 per cent; contents of cavity, 15 per cent.
Original reports did not describe refuse; presumably stem end. Three samples including stem end, skin, and seed part, av. 35 per cent; max. 45 per cent; min. 27 per cent.
Total available carbohydrate, 1 additional sample, 1.4 per cent.
Total refuse, i. e., rind and contents of cavity. This figure is an estimate based on calculations from the following data: Contents of cavity, 4 samples, av. 10 per cent; max. 15 per cent; min. 7 per cent; and rind expressed in percentage of rind and flesh, 16 samples, av. 18 per cent; max. 47 per cent; min. 6 per cent. Two samples reported as total refuse and not included in the above estimate, averaged 23 per cent; max.</sup> 25 per cent; min. 21 per cent.

							•					
		As pur- chased					Edib	le port	ion			
				Carbohydrates			S	Fuel value				
		Refuse	Water	Protein (N× 6.25)	Fat	Ash	Total by differ- ence, in- clud- ing fiber	Fiber	Sugars	Starch	Per 100 grams	Per pound
Sweetpotatoes (Ipomoea batatas).	Av P. E. Max. Min. A. P.	P. ct. Par- ings 14 2.0 20 1	P. ct. 68. 5 2. 8 82. 7 58. 5 58. 9	P. ct. 1. 8 . 5 4. 4 . 5 1. 5	P. ct. 0. 7 .3 2. 5 .2 .6	P. ct. 1. 07 . 14 1. 85 . 4 . 9	P. ct. 27. 9	P. ct. 1. 0 .2 1. 8 . 6 . 9	P. ct. 5. 35 1. 70 11. 9 1. 15	P. ct. 65 20. 2 2. 8 29. 8 8. 8	Cals. 125. 1	Cals. 565
	No	7	196	98	97	98		75	131	97		
Sweetpotato tops 67 (Ipomoea spp.).	Av P. E. Max Min A. P.	68 24	89. 6 2. 3 93. 4 84. 2 68. 1	2.3 .8 4.2 1.2 1.7	.3 .1 .4 .0 .2	1. 55 . 17 1. 98 1. 27 1. 2	6. 3 4. 8	1. 2 . 3 1. 9 . 7 . 9			37. 1	170
	No	1	5	5	5	5		5				
Taro (Colocasia spp.): Corms and tu- bers, Excluding dash- eens.	Av P. E. Max. Min. A. P.	11	75. 1 4. 4 85. 2 61. 8 61. 6	2. 0 . 3 2. 9 1. 4 1. 6	.2 .1 .4 .0 .2	1. 17 . 19 1. 60 . 53 1. 0	21. 5	.8 .1 1.1 .6 .7	1. 42 . 25 1. 95 . 79	18. 2 3. 6 25. 3 10. 1	95. 8	435
	No	3	14	14	14	14		12	6	6		
Leaves and stems. Including dasheen.	Av P. E. Max. Min		87. 8 2. 6 93. 2 84. 2	2.7 .8 4.3 .8	.7 .3 1.2 .1	1. 6 . 3 2. 4 . 9	7.2	1.4 .2 1.9 1.0		.4	45. 9	210
	No		5	5	5	5		5		1		
Shoots.	One		95. 4	.9	. 1	.8	2.8	. 6			15.7	70
Excluding dash- een.	No		1	1	1	1		1				
Tomatoes (Lycopersicon esculentum): Red.	Av P. E. Max. Min. A. P.	Skins 69	94. 1 . 7 96. 7 90. 6 92. 2	1.0 .2 1.8 .7 1.0	.3 .1 .5 .1	. 57 . 10 1. 0 . 34 . 6	7º 4. 0 	.6 .1 1.2 .2 .6	3. 37 39 4. 06 2. 3	(71)	22. 7	105
	No	1	48	34	28	31		27	7			
Green or unripe.	One		94. 7	1. 2	. 2	. 6	3. 3	.4	1. 2	. 4	19. 8	90
	No		1	1	1	1		1	1	1		

<sup>Starch conversion by acid hydrolysis. Dextrin considered to be present in negligible quantities.
Data include figures on 2 samples of tops of common sweetpotatoes,</sup> *Ipomoca batatas*, and 3 of oriental, *I. aquatica*.
Original report did not describe refuse; presumably stems and discarded leaves.
Original report did not describe refuse; presumably skins. One other sample, in which skin was considered edible, reported refuse as 1 per cent. Skins and seeds total 5 per cent in each of two samples.
Acid as citric, 22 samples, av. 0.51 per cent; max. 0.74 per cent; min. 0.31 per cent; P. E. 0.08 per cent.
Less than 0.1 per cent starch, according to six samples.

		Aş pur- chased					Edib	le port	ion			-
								Carbo	hydrate	S	Fuel	value
		Refuse	Water	Protein (N× 6.25)	Fat	Ash	Total by differ- ence, in- clud- ing fiber	Fiber	Sugars	Starch	Per 100 grams	Per
Truffles (Tuber spp.)	Max	P. ct.	P. ct. 72. 5 74. 2		P. ct. 0. 6 . 8	1.8	P. ct.		P. ct.		Cals. (73)	Cals (73)
-	Min		70.8		3	2 2						
Turnips (Brassica rapa)	Av P. E Max. Min A. P	Par- ings 74 13 1. 0 15 10	90. 9 1. 7 95. 7 85. 6 79. 1	1. 1 . 3 2. 1 . 7 1. 0	.2 .1 .4 .1 .2	.73 .08 1.0 .5 .6	7. 1	1. 1 .1 1. 4 .6 1. 0				155
Turnip tops ⁷⁵ (Brassica rapa)	Max. Min A. P	1	89. 5 1. 8 94. 2 84. 4 75. 2	2. 9 .6 5. 2 1. 7 2. 4	.4 .1 .8 .1 .3	1. 76 .30 2. 5 1. 04 1. 5	5. 4	1. 2 .2 1. 7 .6 1. 0			31	165
Udo (Aralia cordata): Shoots.	Av P. E Max. Min		95. 0 . 3 . 95. 4 . 94. 3	1.0 .1 1.3 .7	.2	. 64 . 14 1. 0 . 50	3. 2	1. 1 . 5	1.1	(++)	18. 6	85
	No		4	4	4	4		2	1			
Vegetable-oyster or Salsify (Trago- pogon porrifolius)	Av P. E. Max Min. A. P.	36	79. 1 2. 2 85. 4 76. 4 60. 1	3. 5 . 4 4. 3 3. 0 2. 7	1.0 -1.5 .3 .8	. 88 . 23 1. 21 . 40 . 7	⁷⁶ 15.5	1. 8 2. 0 1. 6 1. 4				385
	No	2	6	5	3	4		2				
				====								

⁵⁹ Carbohydrates are mostly nonextractable and considered, therefore, to have practically no nutritive value.

⁴⁸ Starch is less than 0.1 per cent, according to 1 sample.

2 Protein content is probably low. It can not be calculated from total nitrogen, most of which is in the form of nonprotein nitrogen. Total nitrogen, 3 samples, av. 1.26 per cent; max. 1.46 per cent; min. 1.06 per

cent.

73 Probably little or no fuel value; see footnotes 72 and 39.

74 Parings expressed in percentage of turnips purchased without tops. Total refuse, including tops, 2 other samples, av. 34 per cent; max. 38 per cent; min. 31 per cent.

75 Includes some samples of rutabaga tops.

76 Much of the carbohydrate probably occurs as inulin. Total water-soluble carbohydrates determined as total sugar after hydrolysis, 1 sample, 8.1 per cent; levulose, included in total sugars, 6.3 per cent.

	As pur- chase				ir- Edible portion										
								Carbo	hydrate	S	Fuel	value			
	-	Refuse	Water	Protein (N× 6.25)	Fat	Ash	Total by differ- ence, in- clud- ing fiber	Fiber	Sugars	Starch	Per 100 grams	Per pound			
Vinespinach (Ba- sella rubra): Leaves and stems.	Av Max. Min		93. 2	P. ct. 2.0 2.5 1.4	P. ct. 0.3 .5 .1	P. ct. 1. 5 1. 8 1. 2	P. ct. 3.0	P. ct. 0. 6	P. ct.	P. ct. (⁷⁷)	Cals. 22. 7	Cals. 105			
-	No		5	3	5	2		1							
Watercress (Sisymbrium nasturtium-aquaticum): Leaves and stems.	Av P. E Max. Min.		93. 6 1. 2 97. 1 90. 8	1.7 .5 2.9 .7	.3 .1 .5	1. 09 . 24 1. 72 . 6	3. 3	. 5			22. 7	105			
	No		7	6	5	6		5							
Waternut (Ele- ocharis tuberosa): Tubers.	Av P. E Max. Min A. P	Skins ⁷⁸ 22 28 16	77. 1 1. 8 79. 5 71. 2 60. 1	1. 5 .1 1. 8 1. 1 1. 2	.1 .1 .3 .0 .1	1. 10 . 13 1. 4 . 80 . 9	20. 2	.8 .2 1, 2 .6 .6	8. 8 8. 9 8. 6	⁷⁹ 7. 7 8. 1 7. 3	87. 7 	400			
	No	2	7	7	7	7		7	2	2					
Witloof (see Chicory). Yams, Winged (Dioscorea alata): Tubers.	P.E Max.		72. 6 2. 9 80. 0 67. 3	2. 1 . 4 3. 2 1. 6	. 2	. 98 . 18 1. 38 . 52	24. 1	.8 .1 1.1 .7	1.0 .5 2.7 .4	17. 7 3. 0 23. 5 13. 1	106. 6	485			
	No		12	12	11	12		10	9	4					

No data on starch or sugar. Total available carbohydrate, 2 samples, av. 1.0 per cent; max. 1.7 per cent; min. 0.2 per cent.
 Original reports did not describe refuse; presumably skins.
 Starch conversion by acid hydrolysis.

ORGANIZATION OF THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE WHEN THIS PUBLICATION WAS LAST PRINTED

Secretary of Agriculture	ARTHUR M. HYDE.
Assistant Secretary	R. W. DUNLAP.
Director of Scientific Work	A. F. Woods.
Director of Regulatory Work	WALTER G. CAMPBELL.
Director of Extension Work	C. W. WARBURTON.
Director of Personnel and Business Adminis-	
tration	W. W. STOCKBERGER.
Director of Information	M. S. EISENHOWER.
Solicitor	E. L. MARSHALL.
Weather Bureau	CHARLES F. MARVIN, Chief.
Bureau of Animal Industry	JOHN R. MOHLER, Chief.
Bureau of Dairy Industry	
Bureau of Plant Industry	WILLIAM A. TAYLOR, Chief.
Forest Service	R. Y. STUART, Chief.
Bureau of Chemistry and Soils	H. G. KNIGHT, Chief.
Bureau of Entomology	
Bureau of Biological Survey	Paul G. Redington, Chief.
Bureau of Public Roads	THOMAS H. MACDONALD, Chief.
Bureau of Agricultural Economics	NILS A. OLSEN, Chief.
Bureau of Home Economics	Louise Stanley, Chief.
Plant Quarantine and Control Administration_	LEE A. STRONG, Chief.
Grain Futures Administration	J. W. T. Duvel, Chief.
Food and Drug Administration	WALTER G. CAMPBELL, Director of
	Regulatory Work, in Charge.
Office of Experiment Stations	, Chief.
Office of Cooperative Extension Work	C. B. SMITH, Chief.
Library	
	<u> </u>

This circular is a contribution from

Bureau of Home Economics	Louise Stanley, Chief.
Foods and Nutrition Division	LOUISE STANLEY, Acting in Charge.

